The wildlife hosts of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in the Czech Republic during the years 2002–2007
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**ABSTRACT**: The objective of this study was to determine the wildlife hosts of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) in the Czech Republic. A total of 8 796 wildlife animals were examined by culture of faecal or tissue samples during the years 2002–2007. MAP was isolated from 12 (0.5%) out of 2 296 red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), two (0.2%) out of 835 roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), 78 (5.7%) out of 1 381 fallow deer (*Dama dama*), 28 (3.2%) out of 866 mouflons (*Ovis musimon*), four (2.5%) out of 162 chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra*) and from one (0.1%) out of 805 wild boar (*Sus scrofa*). MAP was not cultured from 82 badgers (*Meles meles*), 55 martens (*Martes foina*), one pine marten (*Martes martes*), 25 brown hares (*Lepus europaeus*), five rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), nine European polecats (*Mustela putorius*), two steppe polecats (*Mustela eversmannii*), two American minks (*Mustela vison*), four raccoon dogs (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*) and four Eurasian otters (*Lutra lutra*). MAP was isolated from three (2.0%) out of 149 small terrestrial mammals: one (5.9%) out of 17 brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*), one (1.7%) out of 59 common voles (*Microtus arvalis*) and one (2.6%) out of 39 lesser white-toothed shrews (*Crocidura suaveolens*). Culture examinations of 34 house mice (*Mus musculus*) and 2 113 pigeons (*Columba livia f. domestica*) were negative. All 123 in vitro growing MAP isolates from wild ruminants were of IS\(^900\) RFLP type B-C1. One mouflon infected with a MAP strain which did not grow on the tested media was after IS\(^1311\)-PRA-PCR assessed as being infected with a “sheep” strain. The RFLP type of the MAP isolate from the wild boar was of the RFLP type A-C10. Although the detection of MAP in wildlife in the Czech Republic was not very high, their role as a potential risk factor for cattle should be considered.
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Domestic and wild ruminants are naturally susceptible to *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* (MAP), which is the causative agent of a chronic granulomatous enteritis known as paratuberculosis or Johne’s disease. MAP is highly resistant to extreme weather conditions, and can therefore survive for a long time in environments such as pastures or stables. The most usual route of MAP infection is faecal-oral, meaning that an environment with infected faeces may then serve as a potential source of infection for animals living in this area for a long time. MAP has been isolated from different free-living ruminant species, which grazed on pastures that were concurrently or previ-
ously used for domestic ruminants. The wide range of such infected free-living ruminants includes red deer (Cervus elaphus; Riemann et al., 1979; Jessup et al., 1981; Nebbia et al., 2000; Pavlik et al., 2000a; Deutz et al., 2005), fallow deer (Dama dama; Marco et al., 2002; Deutz et al., 2005), moufflons (Ovis musimon; Mayer and Weiss, 1986; Deutz et al., 2005), roe deer (Capreolus capreolus; Pavlik et al., 2000a; Machackova et al., 2004; Deutz et al., 2005), chamois (Rupicapra rupicapra; Deutz et al., 2005), ibex (Capra ibex; Deutz et al., 2005) and others. As the numbers of infected ruminants has been increasing in Europe and worldwide, the host spectrum of this pathogen has also been extended.

The role of non-ruminants in the epidemiology of MAP is unclear. While non-ruminant species do not usually exhibit the classical clinical signs of paratuberculosis, MAP has been isolated from their tissues or faeces. Among non-ruminant wildlife, MAP has been detected in herbivores (Greig et al., 1999, Beard et al., 2001; Machackova et al., 2004; Deutz et al., 2005; Raizman et al., 2005), carnivores (Beard et al., 1999, 2001; Deutz et al., 2005), omnivores (Beard et al., 2001; Machackova et al., 2003; Alvarez et al., 2005; Kopecna et al., 2006) and even birds (Beard et al., 2001; Deutz et al., 2005). The infected wildlife has originated predominantly from localities with infected ruminants.

Invertebrates can also be a potential vector of MAP and can transmit it not only on their surface, but also through their digestive tracts. This was documented by the isolation of MAP from earthworms (Lumbricus terrestris; Fischer et al., 2003a) and the imagoes and larvae of different species of Diptera (Fischer et al., 2001; Machackova et al., 2004; Fischer et al., 2005, 2006). Potential passive transmission of MAP by invertebrates was also confirmed by the isolation of MAP from experimentally infected blowflies (Calliphoridae; Fischer et al., 2004), cockroaches (Blattidae; Fischer et al., 2003b) and syrphid flies (Eristalis tenax; Fischer et al., 2005). MAP isolates from invertebrates were of identical RFLP type B-C1 to the isolates from infected cattle or the environment on the farms or in the slaughterhouse, where the invertebrates were collected (Fischer et al., 2001, 2003a; Machackova et al., 2004; Fischer et al., 2005). These hosts can be the source of infection for different predators, especially for small terrestrial mammals. In the Czech Republic, on many infected ruminant farms it is difficult to avoid the transmission of MAP among cattle and free living ruminants (Pavlik et al., 2000a; Machackova et al., 2004).

The purpose of the present study was to assess the potential risk factor of wildlife as hosts or vectors of MAP on cattle farms or their surroundings in the Czech Republic between the years 2002 and 2007.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Examined samples**

Tissues and/or faecal samples were collected from wildlife in numerous localities across the whole country during the six years. Five thousand five hundred and forty wild ruminants, 1 143 non-ruminant animals of fifteen species and 2 113 pigeons were examined. In this study the 805 examined wild boar included 786 animals that were tested by us in previous work of Trcka et al. (2006) in the years 2002 to 2005 (Table 1). The wildlife originated directly from regions with confirmed outbreaks of paratuberculosis in domestic ruminants or at least from a locality or district with a history of paratuberculosis.

**Mycobacterial isolation and identification**

One gram of tissue (intestinal mucosa, mesenteric lymph nodes, mediastinal lymph nodes and liver) or faeces was homogenised by a stomacher (Lab Blender, Gehrden, Germany) and decontaminated in 0.75% HPC (Hexadecyl Pyridinium Chloride: N-cetylpyridinium chloride monohydrate, No. 102340 Merck, USA) for 72 hours (Pavlik et al., 2000b). The sediment (0.2 ml) of decontaminated samples was cultured on three slopes of different Herrold egg-yolk medium (HEYM) and incubated at 37°C for 3 to 12 months (Machackova et al., 2004). Mycobacterial isolates were identified by PCR assays according to Moravkova et al. (2008). Differentiation of MAP isolates was performed by the standardised IS900 RFLP method using the restriction endonucleases PstI and BstEI (Pavlik et al., 1999).

**DNA isolation and IS1311-PRA-PCR**

Acid fast rods were observed in the intestinal tissues of one mouflon but no growth ensued on media. Therefore, DNA from intestinal tissue was isolated by the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and the presence of MAP in
the tissue was confirmed by PCR assays according to Moravkova et al. (2008). Isolated DNA was also run on the IS1311-PRA-PCR assay (Marsh et al., 1999) which enables the differentiation of “cattle” and “sheep” strains.

RESULTS

MAP was detected in 124 (2.2%) of 5 540 wild ruminants (red deer, roe deer, fallow deer, mouflon and chamois) and from four (0.4%) out of 1 143 non-ruminants: in one (5.9%) out of 17 brown rats (Rattus norvegicus), one (1.7%) out of 59 common voles (Microtus arvalis), one (2.6%) out of 39 lesser white-toothed shrews (Crocidura suaveolans) and one (0.1%) out of 805 wild boar (Sus scrofa). In wild ruminants MAP was isolated from either faeces or tissue or from both. In four non-ruminants MAP was isolated only from liver tissue. The RFLP type of MAP isolates in wild ruminants was B-C1 (n = 124; Table 1).

All three infected small terrestrial mammals were captured or trapped on/near to a pasture or in a

Table 1. The isolation of Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis from wildlife in the Czech Republic during the years 2002–2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host type</th>
<th>Wildlife species</th>
<th>Examined No.</th>
<th>Pos.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>RFLP profiles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B-C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminants</td>
<td>red deer (Cervus elaphus)</td>
<td>2 296</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>roe deer (Capreolus capreolus)</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fallow deer (Dama dama)</td>
<td>1 381</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mouflon (Ovis musimon)</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chamois (Rupicapra rupicapra)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminants</td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>5 540</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ruminants</td>
<td>wild boar (Sus scrofa)</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>badgers (Meles meles)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stone marten (Martes foina)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pine marten (Martes martes)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>European polecat (Mustela putorius)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>steppe polecat (Mustela cinesmaculata)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ruminants</td>
<td>American mink (Mustela vison)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>raccoon dog (Nyctereutes procyonoides)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>brown hare (Lepus europaeus)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eurasian otter (Lutra lutra)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>small terrestrial mammals b</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-ruminants</td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>1 143</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>pigeon (Columba livia f. domestica)</td>
<td>2 113</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 796</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pos. = culture positive for Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis

a786 animals were examined during the period 2002–2005 as published previously (Trcka et al., 2006)

bMycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis was isolated from one (5.9%) out of 17 brown rats (Rattus norvegicus), one (1.7%) out of 59 common voles (Microtus arvalis) and one (2.6%) out of 39 lesser white-toothed shrews (Crocidura suaveolans). Culture examination of 34 house mice (Mus musculus) was negative.
stable where an infected cattle herd was present. However, due to the very poor growth of these isolates it was not possible to apply RFLP analysis. The only wild boar infected with RFLP type A-C10 was shot in a district where MAP had been detected in wild ruminants (Table 1).

The IS1311-PRA-PCR assay applied to DNA from the tissue of one mouflon revealed that the mouflon was infected with a MAP “sheep” strain which did not grow in vitro.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of the present study was to assess the potential risk factor of wildlife as hosts or vectors of MAP on cattle farms or their surroundings in the Czech Republic from 2002 to 2007. In our study, MAP was isolated from 124 animals over a six year period; from the tissues and faeces of free-ranging red deer, roe deer, fallow deer, mouflons and chamois. MAP was also isolated from the tissues of three small terrestrial mammals and one wild boar (Table 1). The present epidemiological study adds to the so far published studies dealing with the detection of the causative agent of paratuberculosis in ruminant and non-ruminant wildlife (Greig et al., 1999; Beard et al., 2001; Deutz et al., 2005; Raizman et al., 2005; Kopecna et al., 2006).

Faecal contamination of the environment by MAP infected ruminants is extensive. It has been documented that clinically ill animals can shed over $10^6$–$10^{10}$ CFU/g of MAP in their faeces (Chiodini et al., 1984; Crossley et al., 2005). Furthermore, MAP is resistant to different physical conditions and can survive for a long time in the environment. Therefore, the most probable route of transmission of infection from cattle to wildlife is indirect contact, i.e., faecal-oral, contaminating pasture being the source of infection (Greig et al., 1999; Beard et al., 1999, 2001; Deutz et al., 2005; Raizman et al., 2005; Kopecna et al., 2006). Furthermore, the detection of MAP in wildlife ruminant faeces in our study points to possible transmission from wildlife ruminants to cattle. On the other hand, the detection of MAP in only the liver of small terrestrial mammals shows that these animals were the final hosts and did not shed MAP in their faeces. This finding did not mirror the results of other authors (Corn et al., 2005; Florou et al., 2007), who furthermore described MAP in the faeces of non-ruminant species such as mice, rats, hares, fox, feral cats and birds.

Due to farming conditions in the Czech Republic, small terrestrial mammals that may be found in stables the whole year round and can thus permanently contaminate livestock feed are likely to be a risk group for paratuberculosis transmission. Provided the domestic ruminants are kept in stables, they have to ingest the feed given to them. Accordingly, each of the animals ingests different amounts of contaminated feed (Daniels and Hutchings, 2001). If the infected livestock are kept on pastures, they become a source of MAP infection for wildlife. Similar results were obtained for wild ruminants by Riemann et al. (1979), Jessup et al. (1981) and Marco et al. (2002).

However MAP was also documented in nine birds (European starling, house sparrow, common snipe) trapped in cattle farms in Wisconsin and Georgia (Corn et al., 2005). We did not isolated any MAP from 2 113 pigeons (Columba livia f. domestica) trapped in localities with MAP infection in cattle. This result may be due to the fact that pigeons are known to be more resistant to mycobacterial infection than, e.g., the European starling or house sparrow (Hejlícek and Treml, 1995).

By RFLP analysis, it was discovered that all wild ruminants except one mouflon had the RFLP profile B-C1 (Table 1). This is the prevailing profile detected in cattle in the Czech Republic (Pavlik et al., 1999, 2000a) and was detected in cattle isolates in the studied localities (data not published in this article). These results indicate that MAP could probably be transmitted from cattle to wild ruminants or vice versa through the sharing of contaminated pasture. The RFLP type of MAP isolated from the wild boar was C10, which was identical with cattle isolates that originated from neighbouring districts (Trcka et al., 2006). However, MAP RFLP type B-C1 was also detected in wild ruminants in the same district where the wild boar was captured (data not shown). Accordingly, we assume that this wild boar was infected in the neighbouring districts.

Using direct PCR and IS1311-PRA-PCR analyses for one mouflon tissue positive for acid fast bacteria by microscopy, but for which no growth was observed on (HEYM) media, revealed that the mouflon was infected with a “sheep” strain of MAP (in some studies designated as group I/III). This is in agreement with the observation of Marsh and Whittington (2007) that sheep MAP strains produced colonies on modified 7H10 medium but not on (HEYM) medium. Sheep strains are slow growing, pigmented or not pigmented and have usually...
been detected in sheep and goats, and occasionally in cattle in Australia, New Zealand and Spain (Marsh et al., 1999; de Juan et al., 2006). This is the first report of a mouflon harbouring a ”sheep” type strain in the Czech Republic.

Generally, according to the number of the examined wildlife and the number of infected animals originating from localities with outbreaks of paratuberculosis, the impact of wildlife as a risk factor for the spread of infection does not appear to be very high in our country. The population size of different wildlife species should also be taken into account. In this regard, wild boar are an over-populated species throughout Central Europe and might play a more significant role than for example hares, as their population is quite low in the Czech Republic. The population size of some small terrestrial mammal species varies significantly from year to year, according to their population dynamics in the last few decades (Elton, 1924; Krebs, 1996). Accordingly, during periods of overpopulation, the impact of these animals on the spread of infection might be more significant. However, this hypothesis could not be confirmed in our country, because MAP was only rarely isolated from these animals and because all rodents were captured in stables and not on pastures (Table 1).

CONCLUSION

The present study extended the knowledge of known hosts and vectors of MAP. This epidemiological study based on the RFLP analysis of MAP isolates confirmed that the RFLP type B-C1 is the most predominant RFLP type in the Czech Republic in free living ruminants and non-ruminants.
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