Reincident corneal epithelial inclusion cyst in a dog: a case report
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ABSTRACT: An unilateral corneal epithelial inclusion cyst (CEIC) in a 8-years-old female mixed Poodle is reported. The cyst had been observed for 60 days, was unique, not congenital and only one eye was involved. One year prior to the referral the dog was treated with antibiotics due to an ocular trauma caused by a fight with a cat. In the same eye, palpebral melanocytic tumor and corneal dystrophy were also observed. In order to remove the CEIC a superficial keratectomy was performed. Collagen contact lens and topical antibiotics were the medical treatment of choice. Fifteen month after surgery the dog was referred for recurrence of the CEIC. A second keratectomy and similar topical treatment was attempted again. A second recurrence 16 months after surgery has not been observed to the date. Cytology and histology analysis of the cyst confirmed the diagnosis of the CEIC. Microbiologic studies were also realized and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* was aisled twice in fifteen months. In this case a relapsing CEIC is reported associated to *S. epidermidis* contamination. Corneal dystrophy and palpebral melanoma were concomitant lesions, although no relations with the CEIC were concluded.

Keywords: corneal epithelial inclusion cyst; corneal disease; dog
ophthalmoscopy revealed no abnormal findings on either fundus. Presumptive clinical diagnosis was corneal epithelial inclusion cyst (CEIC). In the same eye, a palpebral pigmented mass of five millimetres in size was also observed by the owners since five months and presumptive diagnosis of melanocytic tumor was realized. At the time of examination, the dog was alert and otherwise in apparent good health. A complete blood count and chemistry did not show any abnormal changes, and cholesterol, tryglicerides, calcium and glucose values were within normal limits.

Surgical excision of the presumptive neoplasm and CEIC was recommended. CEIC was excised by keratectomy (Figure 2) and submitted for cytology. The cyst was inadvertently ruptured prior to surgery. At this moment, a pair of cysts of different size was observed in the same localization. Vascularization and perilesional oedema were less apparent than in the first time (A, B and C)
complete removal. Sterile swab was applied over the ulcer and submitted to microbiological study. Cytological findings showed intact and degenerated epithelial cells and diagnosis of CEIC was confirmed. *S. epidermidis* was aisled by microbiological exam of the lumen of the cyst. Non histological studies could be attempted. Topical atropine 1% two times per day during three days (Atropina 1%, Alcon Cusi) and chloranphenicol four times per day during 14 days (Cloranfenicol, Alcon Cusi) were applied postsurgically. A collagen lens (Proshield, Alcon) were employed to improve the re-epithelization. Palpebral neoplasm was removed by full-thickness “V” excision (Bedford, 1999) without complications and melanocytoma was confirmed by histological studies. No medical or surgical treatment was realized for corneal dystrophy.

Fifteen months after surgery, the dog presented again for consultation due to recurrence of the CIEC. At this time, pair of cysts of different size was observed in the same localization. Vascularization and perilional oedema were less apparent than in the first time but the same corneal vessels were filled. Corneal dystrophy showed a more advanced state with more dense opacities and nebular-type oval corneal opacity appearance (Figure 3). A new keratectomy was performed to eliminate the cysts and microbiological and histological studies were performed. Histological studies revealed a cyst mass including into the epithelium and superficial stroma. The mass was covered by hyperplastic corneal epithelium with a few small vessels over the stroma. Microbiological analyses concluded *S. epidermidis* as unique pathogenic agent involved. Topical ciprofloxacin (Oftacilox, Alcon Cusi) at 1 drop, q6h, during 14 days was the choice treatment after surgery. Sixteen months after second keratectomy no recurrence of the CEIC has been observed (Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In human, CEIC may develop as a complication of corneal ulcer, penetrating trauma or intraocular surgery (Haller et al., 2002). Keratotomies, epikeratoplasties and corneal epithelium dystrophies with recurrent erosion have been proposed as cause of this disease (Campos et al., 2002), although congenital origin has also been described (Haller et al., 2002). In dogs, it is a rare corneal condition and the cause has not been definitively determined. Like in humans, CIEC could be congenital (Bedford et al., 1990), however traumatic origin could be also conferred, due to the most dog present a history of previous corneal ulceration or lesion (Bedford et al., 1990; Whitley and Gilger, 1999; Campos et al., 2002). Corneal indolent ulcer and keratectomies have been also hypothesized as possible origins in dog (Campos et al., 2002). It has been supposed the inciting trauma introduces corneal epithelial cells into the superficial corneal stroma. During repair, these cells proliferate within the stroma with consequent retaining of secretions and desquamated material (Bedford et al., 1990; Cullen and Grahn, 2001). Biopsy studies reported in human have demonstrated retention of epithelium within the incision wound of radial keratectomy and adjacent abnormal keratocytes with degenerative changes (Jester et al., 1983).

There is not know breed predisposition to epithelial inclusion cyst formation (Cullen and Grahn, 2001). CIEC may vary in size and corneal position and result in varying amounts of lagopthalmos andvision impairinent (Bedford et al., 1990). It appears as raised, white to pink o yellowish corneal mass. This corneal disease is considered a benign unilateral entity, although visual capability could be impaired as consequence to its size and corneal position. The differential diagnosis included corneal neoplasm, abscess, poorly pigmented dermoid, bul-lous keratopathy, and iris prolapse following corneal perforation. All these pathologies show clinical differences, corneal abscess cause discomfort and uveitis and normally these are not raised lesions,
bullaous keratopathy cause edema and discomfort, dermoids are congenital and haired, and iris prolapse cause pain, uveitis and dyscoria (Bedford et al., 1990; Campos et al., 2002). Primary corneal neoplasia should be considered in the differential diagnosis of corneal masses (Bernays et al., 1999). So, papilloma, histiocytoma, fibrous histiocytoma and nodular fascitis may have been the most important differential diagnosis in this case, however these more vascularized masses are usually localized at the temporal cornea, involving the corneoscleral limbus. In this case, a tentative clinical diagnosis of CEIC was considered because of the previous ocular trauma and the visual similarity to previous reported cases (Bedford et al., 1990; Bedford, 1997; Cullen and Grahn, 2001; Campos et al., 2002).

No previous relation has been described with other ocular diseases. Mechanical factors that caused chronic changes in the cornea may have been causative factors for induction of primary dysplastic or neoplastic changes (Bernays et al., 1999). A relation could be suspected between melanocytoma of the meibomian glands and CEIC. However, this affirmation may be not very feasible due to the palpebral tumours are a common entity in dogs and not previous relation have been described with CEIC formation. On the other hand, a relation with central corneal dystrophy may be also thought, although coincidence in localization into the cornea was neither seen.

In the previous cases reports no neutrophils or microorganisms were seen by histological studies (Termote, 2006). Therefore, pathogenic agents have not been previously described in association with CEIC. S. epidermidis is a saprophytic agent with moderate aggressive capability and ability to grow anaerobically. This agent has been aised over the ocular surface as normal flora of man and dog (Murphy et al., 1978; Samuelson et al., 1984; Gerding et al., 1988). In a prospective clinical study in humans the most commonly isolated bacteria in post-traumatic keratitis was S. epidermidis (Steffan and Neciu, 2006). In this case, S. epidermidis was aised as unique pathogenic agent; however clinical sings of corneal abscess (clinical appearance, absence of uveitis and ocular pain or discomfort) were not present. A contamination of the swab during the surgery has to be considered. However, an invasion of the germen and keratinocytes within the stroma and subsequent proliferation of these cells could be more feasible due to the germen was aised for two times.

Treatment of CEIC in man has included aspiration, cauterization, and excision of the anterior wall in order to prevent astigmatism (Mifflin et al., 2001; Haller et al., 2002). Because astigmatism is not of primary concern in the dog, keratectomy is the treatment of choice (Cullen and Grahn, 2001; Campos et al., 2002). Because of the superficial corneal stromal involvement, conjuntival or corneal grafts are not usually employed (Cullen and Grahn, 2001). Topical antibiotics to prevent contamination and conjuntival graft after keratectomy have been also suggested. No references of collagen lens use after keratectomy of CEIC have been found in the veterinary literature. Recurrence of the CEIC is not expected (Whitley and Gilger, 1999), however in this case two smaller CEIC appeared 15 months after first keratectomy. The most likely explanation could be an incomplete and inadequate surgical excision of the original cyst. Perhaps, collagen lens may predispose to recurrence of this entity increasing the re-epithelization. The increased of velocity of epithelization may cause keratocytes and microorganism proliferate within the stroma, however any of these hypothesis can be concluded.
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